

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,364	08/25/2003	Paul Richard Blais	H-732-0-US	2123
24132 75	590 05/31/2005		EXAM	INER
HUSKY INJECTION MOLDING SYSTEMS, LTD			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
CO/AMC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GRP 500 QUEEN ST. SOUTH BOLTON, ON L7E 5S5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
CANADA			D. TE. M. H. ED. 06/21/202	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Nw				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/647,364	BLAIS, PAUL RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher S. Kim	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re i. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON latute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	4 May 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 and 5-19</u> is/are 5) □ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction are	withdrawn from consideration					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□ objected to t	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	,					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received.  nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b>∧</b> □					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/26/03.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I, Species A, Subspecies aa in the reply filed on May 4, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the propriety of the restriction requirement was asserted without supporting the conclusion. This is not found persuasive because paragraph 2 of the Election/Restriction Requirement mailed on March 8, 2005 provides supporting evidence for the propriety of the election/restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 3, 5, 6-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 4, 2005.

Applicant indicated in the response filed on May 4, 2005 that claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 were readable on the elected invention. Claim 5 recites a "cap" which can only be found in the non-elected Species B, figure 2. The specification discloses, on page 7, paragraph 39, a cap portion 52.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/647,364 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in

the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schwarzkopf (5,573,185).

Schwarzkopf discloses an injection molding nozzle tip comprising: a first portion

1; a second portion 9. The first portion 1 is made of steel to which second portion 9 is

fused to by welds 12 and 13. The different materials of first portion 1 and second

portion 9 is shown by the different cross hatching in figure 3.

If applicant believes that Schwarzkopf does not discloses different materials,

metals/materials other than steel are well known in the art. It would have been obvious

to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the

second portion of Schwarzkopf from metal/material other than steel for heat insulation,

ease in fabrication, prevent corrosion, etc.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 3752

272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752